

From: eplanning <eplanning@north-ayrshire.gov.uk>
To: idoxplanning <idoxplanning@north-ayrshire.gov.uk>
CC:
Date: 18 Apr 2016 15:28
Subject: Fw: Planning Application 16/00268/PP [OFFICIAL]

The email body is shown below. Embedded objects (including images) have been removed.

----- Forwarded by Lorna Carson/Legal/North Ayrshire Council on 18/04/2016 15:28 -----

From: [REDACTED]
To: eplanning@north-ayrshire.gov.uk, Tom Marshall [REDACTED], Alan Hill <alanhill@north-ayrshire.gov.uk>, Alex Gallagher <agallagher@north-ayrshire.gov.uk>, gracemclean@north-ayrshire.gov.uk, [REDACTED]
Date: 17/04/2016 17:01
Subject: Planning Application 16/00268/PP

Dear Sirs,

I refer to the above planning application to which I formally object as follows.

1. Despite searching NAC's Planning Web Site I have been unable to trace the Secretary of State's original planning consent of 1988, which the above planning application seeks to amend. It is not possible for the general public to comment sensibly on the above planning application without this information. That being the case the Applicant should be asked to lodge this original planning consent as a document pertinent to the planning application and as the consent he seeks to alter.

2. From recollection, the Secretary of State's original 1988 planning consent for the Hunterston Basin required that, on completion of operations, the site be returned to a state acceptable to the relevant local authority. From my understanding this could only mean returned to the natural state of the original Hunterston foreshore before work commenced. This would be particularly the case bearing in mind the internationally important SSSI site in close proximity.

3. Notwithstanding the reasons given, planning applications of the one under consideration are usually, and in reality, linked to the desire of the developer to maximise profit at the expense of the environment and local amenity by avoiding spending money in returning the site to its original condition - as was clearly the intent of the Scottish Office at the time. The Clyde has enough industrial eyesores littering its shores on a permanent basis without this development adding to it and potentially preventing a more appropriate use for the land.

I will be most grateful if you will acknowledge safe receipt of this formal objection to this planning application.

Yours faithfully,



[Public] Intended for public disclosure

[Official] Restricted to Council staff and contractors, with possible controlled public release on request

[Official-Protect] Personal or business sensitive data intended to be shared only with named recipients and requiring protection